4			
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
7		-00490 REC DLB HC	
8	8	DENYING PETITIONER'S MOTION	
9	9 FOR JUD		
10	10 v. [Doc. 7]		
11	11 GLORIA HENRY, WARDEN,	GLORIA HENRY, WARDEN,	
12	Respondent.	Respondent.	
13	13		
14	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus	
15	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.		
16	On May 19, 2005, the Court issued an order directing Respondent to file a response to the		
17	petition within ninety (90) days from the date of service. Over ninety (90) days have passed and		
18	no response was received.		
19			
20	The Court has reviewed the docket in this case and it appears that the Clerk of Court		
21	inadvertently did not electronically serve the Court's order on Respondent. As such, Respondent		
22	did not receive notice of the court's order and cannot be faulted for her failure to respond.		
23	Therefore, Petitioner's motion for judgment must be DENIED. <sup>1</sup>		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
25		/s/ Dennis L. Beck	
26	26 <b>Dated:</b> September 7, 2005 3b142a UNITED	STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
27	27		
28	<sup>1</sup> The Court notes that issued concurrently berewith is a new briefing schedule order		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Court notes that issued concurrently herewith is a new briefing schedule order.